Applicant: James A. Stares Attorney's Docket No.: 15825-048001 / MN-01-001

Serial No.: 10/082,620
Filed: February 22, 2002

Page : 2 of 7

Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims replaces all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

Please cancel claims 7, 15, and 18-28. Please amend claims 6 and 14 as indicated.

1. (Canceled)

2. (Previously Presented) The valve of claim 6 wherein the throttling cage is offset in the cavity away from the second fluid passage.

- 3. (Previously Presented) The valve of claim 6 wherein the annular volume is smallest in an area of the cavity opposite the second fluid passage.
- 4. (Previously Presented) The valve of claim 6 wherein at least one of the flow ports facing the second fluid passage is larger than at least one of the other flow ports.
- 5. (Previously Presented) The valve of claim 6 wherein a flow port facing the second fluid passage is larger than any of the other flow ports.
 - 6. (Currently Amended) A valve comprising:

a valve body defining an interior cavity in communication with a first fluid passage and a second fluid passage, the volume of the cavity substantially equally distributed about a central axis;

a tubular throttling cage in the cavity and in communication with the first fluid passage, the tubular throttling cage positioned such that an annular volume is defined between the throttling cage and a wall of the cavity and having a plurality of flow ports arranged about a perimeter of the throttling cage, wherein fluid flows between the first fluid passage and the second fluid passage through the throttling cage, a longitudinal axis of the throttling cage is

Applicant: James A. Stares Attorney's Docket No.: 15825-048001 / MN-01-001

Serial No.: 10/082,620

Filed: February 22, 2002

Page : 3 of 7

positioned offset from the central axis of the cavity, and <u>all</u> the flow ports are angled <u>direct fluid</u> flow towards the second fluid passage; and

a plug closely received in the throttling cage and moveable about the longitudinal axis to selectively cover the flow ports thereby restricting flow between the first fluid passage and the second fluid passage.

7. (Canceled)

- 8. (Previously Presented) The valve of claim 6 wherein the throttling cage has a triangular flow splitter.
- 9. (Original) The valve of claim 8 wherein the triangular flow splitter is in the portion of the throttling cage opposite the second fluid passage.
- 10. (Previously Presented) The valve of claim 6 wherein the throttling cage is substantially sealed to the valve body.

11. (Canceled)

- 12. (Previously Presented) The fluid flow control device of claim 14 wherein at least one of the lateral flow ports is larger than the other lateral ports.
- 13. (Previously Presented) The fluid flow control device of claim 14 wherein a lateral fluid port facing the second fluid passage is larger than at least one of the other lateral fluid ports.

Applicant: James A. Stares Attorney's Docket No.: 15825-048001 / MN-01-001

Serial No.: 10/082,620

Filed: February 22, 2002

Page : 4 of 7

14. (Currently Amended) A fluid flow control device, comprising:

a flow body having an internal chamber;

- a first fluid passage intersecting the chamber;
- a second fluid passage intersecting the chamber;

a tubular member residing in the internal chamber, the tubular member being in communication with the first fluid passage and having a plurality of lateral fluid ports, wherein substantially all of the lateral fluid ports direct fluid flow towards the second fluid passage; and

a plug adapted for movement in an interior of the tubular member to selectively cover a portion of the ports;

wherein an annular volume between the tubular member and the flow body is smallest opposite the second fluid passage.

15. (Canceled)

- 16. (Previously Presented) The fluid flow control device of claim 14 wherein two adjacent lateral fluid ports form a triangular flow splitter in the tubular member.
- 17. (Original) The fluid flow control device of claim 16 wherein a lateral fluid port opposite the triangular flow splitter is larger than at least one of the other lateral fluid ports.
 - 18. (Canceled)
 - 19. (Canceled)
 - 20. (Canceled)
 - 21. (Canceled)

Attorney's Docket No.: 15825-048001 / MN-01-001 Applicant: James A. Stares

Serial No.: 10/082,620

: February 22, 2002 : 5 of 7 Filed

Page

22. (Canceled)

23. (Canceled)

24. (Canceled)

25. (Canceled)

26. (Canceled)

27. (Canceled)

(Canceled) 28.